Rt. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701
2/18/74

Dear Mr. Lindsay,

My conjectures may be proven or disproven by the time you can get this. I won't be able to mail it until tomorrow.

St. Clair does not identify his "experts" in the stories I have read and heard. My hunch is that it is Dektor, listed in the D.C. yellow pages. They have a new police-state gadget called Psychological Stress Evaluator and much to gain by any attention, no matter how unfavorable because of the nature of their gadget and the possible markets.

PSE has been condemned by an Army study and by the ACLU.

My first knowledge of it, prior to having seen anything in print, was when George O'Toole, identifying himself as formerly CIA, made an approach several years ago to the Committee to Investigate Assassination, with which I refused to associate myself. Meaning both CTIA and PSE.

It made little sense to me that O'Toole would seek out a nothing, which is what CTIA is, for help with his device. And it made no sense to have anything to do with what can serve only police-state uses.

I also found myself wondering why O'Toole would want to be associated with a topic not held in high esteem by any of the media.

O'Toole has been promoting PSE with the assassinations. I have a Penthouse article in which he uses it to prove the proven. It means nothing to prove by a device that someone lied when other evidence has already established it. However, using this method to promote the device, while it can reflect ignorance of the subject, tends to suggest shysterism.

The present White House needs no better credentials.

I do not know the O'Toole-Dektor connection other than that both have this PSE which earlier accounts seem to identify as all or part O'Toole's property.

It is my belief that the nature of Dektor's advertised business precludes authentic expertise of the scientific nature required for the White House representations. Yet the White House has already used them as experts instead of all the many with the right credentials. Authentic experts can't be used for White House purposes because the essential facts preclude it. The White House requires fraudulent misrepresentation. It cannot get this from real experts with concern for their reputations.

It can get it from the unscrupulous with something to gain from it.

I believe, if it interests you, that getting a definitive statement from Dektor, which has already been used by the White House, would enable the demolition of the Dektor claims. If Dektor is this new expert, it may well claim that it can say nothing before in-court use. And that may be either valid or what was asked of it. But it will also serve the purpose of letting fraudulent misrepresentation get wide attention in defense of Nixon.

My theory includes what is not essential, that Dektor volunteered. Unless someone connected with all this dirty business had already used Dektor services. Or, Dektor is seeking the attention/notoriety for commercial ends.

All of this inevitably serves Nixon's need, which is to keep attention anywhere except on <u>why</u> everything connected with 6/20/72 tapes and other records has been memory-holed except what I remind you you were going to get a send me a copy, Haldeman's notes of that meeting in which he was, entered into evidence in the Washington court.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg